

**INTERVIEW SUMMARY**

Applicant's undersigned wishes the thank the Examiner for the telephone interview on September 7, 2006.

An agreement was not reached in maintaining the term "threshold" in the claims. During the interview, Applicant's undersigned argued that the term threshold, as recited in the claims, is supported in the specification. Applicant's undersigned pointed to several examples of the use of a "filter function" which provides a number, value, or point that when exceeded, the filter function manipulates the motion of a dynamic object differently than when the threshold is not exceeded. (See equation 4). Applicant's undersigned argued that this number, value, or point is the same as a threshold or limit, even though the "term" threshold is silent verbatim in the specification.

The Examiner argued that a threshold had special meaning in the field of electronic circuits, and would feel more comfortable with a different term.

Applicant's undersigned and the Examiner agreed to allow Applicant's undersigned to discuss with the Applicant changing the term "threshold" to "limit" which is explicitly supported in the specification.

Interview Summary dated September 18, 2006 states that the Examiner believes amending the term from "threshold" to "filter." However, Applicant's undersigned did not discuss the term "filter" as in the same context as a threshold or limit. Applicant's undersigned merely pointed the Examiner to examples of "filter functions" that support the concept of a threshold as recited in the claims. Furthermore, Applicant's undersigned suggested amending the term "threshold" to "limit." The term "limit" was to be discussed with the Applicant. Applicant's undersigned submits that a filter is different from a threshold or limit as recited in the claims.

Appl. No. 09/750,100  
Amdt. dated October 4, 2006  
Reply to Office Action of June 19, 2006 and the Examiner  
Interview of September 7, 2006

PATENT

**CONCLUSION**

The issuance of a formal Notice of Allowance at an early date is respectfully requested.

If the Examiner believes a telephone conference would expedite prosecution of this application, please telephone the undersigned at 650-326-2400.

Respectfully submitted,

/Sean F. Parmenter/  
Sean F. Parmenter  
Reg. No. 53,437

TOWNSEND and TOWNSEND and CREW LLP  
Two Embarcadero Center, Eighth Floor  
San Francisco, California 94111-3834  
Tel: 650-326-2400  
Fax: 650-326-2422  
SFP:am  
60884038 v1